#### Fort Loramie Local School District

Department of Education

PO Box 26, Fort Loramie, OH 45845-0026 - Shelby County



The District Report Card for the 2007-2008 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators Performance





Adequate Value Added

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction\*
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

\*new designation beginning 2007-2008 due to Yalue Added



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Test, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

### 2007-2008 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: Larry A. Ludlow (937) 295-3931









State Po	ercentage of Student	s at and above the Pi	oficient Level
Indicators	Your District	Similar Districts	State
illulcators	2007-2008	2007-2008	2007-2008
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 p	ercent
1. Reading	96.5 % ✓	89.2 %	77.4 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>	96.5 % ✓	90.0 %	79.3 %
4th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
3. Reading	100.0 % 🗸	91.0 %	81.1 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>	88.8 % 🗸	85.4 %	74.6 %
5. Writing	92.5 % 🗸	89.0 %	81.7 %
5th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
6. Reading	95.3 % ✓	84.1 %	72.7 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>	82.8 % 🗸	75.3 %	61.8 %
8. Science	93.8 % 🗸	77.8 %	66.4 %
<ol><li>Social Studies</li></ol>	100.0 % ✓	78.8 %	64.8 %
6th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
10. Reading	91.4 % ✓	87.4 %	79.7 %
<ol> <li>Mathematics</li> </ol>	100.0 % 🗸	84.6 %	76.6 %
7th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 pe	rcent
12. Reading	92.0 % 🗸	86.6 %	77.3 %
<ol><li>13. Mathematics</li></ol>	82.0 % ✓	80.1 %	68.8 %
14. Writing	94.0 % ✓	90.1 %	85.7 %
8th Grade Achievement	The state req	uirement is 75 per	cent
15. Reading	90.9 % 🗸	87.5 %	79.4 %
<ol><li>Mathematics</li></ol>	85.5 % 🗸	83.2 %	72.8 %
17. Science	90.9 % 🗸	74.4 %	62.2 %
18. Social Studies	65.5 %	64.1 %	53.5 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)		quirement is 75 p	
19. Reading	94.4 % 🗸	91.5 %	85.2 %
20. Mathematics	91.7 % 🗸	88.4 %	79 %
21. Writing	94.4 % 🗸	91.3 %	85.2 %
22. Science	93.1 % 🗸	84.3 %	72.8 %
23. Social Studies	90.3 % 🗸	84.2 %	78.4 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade) <sup>2</sup>		quirement is 85 pe	
24. Reading	95.3 % 🧹	96.2 %	91.9 %
25. Mathematics	93.8 % 🧹	94.4 %	88.2 %
26. Writing	96.9 % 🗸	96.6 %	93 %
27. Science	93.8 % 🗸	93.1 %	83.6 %
28. Social Studies	90.6 % 🗸	92.1 %	86.5 %
Attendance Rate	The state re	quirement is 93 pe	rcent
29. All Grades	97.5 % ✓	95.8 %	94.2 %
2006-07 Graduation Rate	The state re	quirement is 90 pe	rcent
30. District	100.0 % ✓	96.4 %	86.9 %
Any result at or above the state standard is ind	icated by a 🗸		


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a .


Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors. Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.


### Your District's Assessment Results Over Time





All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.















State Indicators


The State Indicators are

based on state
assessments, as well
as on attendance and
graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment.
For the 11th arade Ohio

Graduation Test indicator, a cumulative 85% passage

rate for each assessment





'Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

is required.

## **Performance Index Score**

#### **Performance Index Score Calculations** for the 2007-2008 School Year

3-8 and 10 for a	vel Across Grades III Tested Subjects student enrolled in full academic year)	Percentage	X	Weight	=	Points	
	Untested	0	Х	0.0	=	0.0	
	Limited	1.8	Χ	0.3	=	0.5	
	Basic	6.5	Χ	0.6	=	3.9	
	Proficient	28.8	Χ	1.0	=	28.8	
	Accelerated	36.3	Х	1.1	=	39.9	
	Advanced	26.6	Χ	1.2	=	31.9	

Your District's Performance Index Score 105 1

Grade 5



The Performance Index Score reflects the achievement of

every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index Score is a weighted average of all tested subjects and grades. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2), and the weights decrease for each performance level. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index Score can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

#### **Performance Index Score Over Time**

2007-2008	2006-2007	2005-2006
105.1	103.6	103.8

#### Value-Added Measure

**Overall Composite** 




Grade 4

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2007-2008 school year.

Grade 8

Reading



**Mathematics** 



Your district's value-added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

#### Legend

Above **Expected Growth** 



Met **Expected Growth** 

**Below Expected Growth** 

## **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



Gr Gr	equate Yearly Progress ade 3-8 and 10 Reading ade 3-8 and 10	AllStude	ints mar	ncally Disadly Asian P	antaged acific Islands	Aneric	an Indian/Alas	Kan Kan	acial	non-Hispanic Students	with Disability	Jish Proficient  AYP Deterr by Indic	
_	<b>Mathematics</b>	VII	Fcc	ASI	Bit	VII.	His.	Mrs	Mir	Sit	/III.	by Indic	cator
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
Gra	aduation Rate*	Met										Graduation Rate:	Met
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
A	/P Designation by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	AYP Status of Your District:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets by combining currently proficient students with those students projected to become proficient.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.

N/A	Not Applicable.					
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.					
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.					
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.					
	Note: The AYP determination for Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate is evaluated using only the results of the 'All Students' group.					

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students; Economically Disadvantaged Students; Asian/Pacific Islander Students; Black, non-Hispanic Students; American Indian/Alaskan Native Students; Hispanic Students; Multi-Racial Students;

White, non-Hispanic Students; Students with Disabilities (IEP); and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. AYP also can affect the state designation that a school or district receives in one of two ways. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

## State and Federally Required District Information

Your District's Percentage of Students	s at Each Performance Level
--	-----------------------------

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian or Nat. Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading	NC			NC		2.1	0.0	13.6		2.2	0.0		1.8	2.3
Writing Mathematics	NC NC			NC		0.0 2.5	0.0 1.4	0.0 9.1		0.0 2.5	0.0 3.1		0.0 2.2	0.0
Science	NC 			NC 		2.5 1.6	0.6	9.1 8.0		2.5 1.7	0.0		3.2	2.8 0.0
Social Studies						1.6	0.6	8.0		1.7	0.0		2.2	1.0
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	ng Basio										
Reading	NC			NC		3.2	1.6	12.1		3.2	3.1		2.2	4.2
Writing	NC			NC		6.5	2.3	29.0		5.5	14.3		2.8	10.6
Mathematics Science	NC 			NC 		7.9 5.8	5.9 4.2	18.2 16.0		6.7 5.6	21.9 9.1		7.6 3.2	8.0 8.2
Social Studies						12.0	9.6	28.0		10.6	36.4		12.9	11.2
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	na Profi	cient		0.0	20.0			33			
Reading	NC			NC		30.8	28.9	40.9		30.0	40.6		25.6	36.2
Writing	NC			NC		38.5	34.5	58.1		35.4	61.9		34.3	42.6
Mathematics	NC			NC		28.2	27.6	31.8		27.7	34.4		30.0	26.3
Science Social Studies						24.1	23.5	28.0		22.8	45.5		29.0	19.4
						20.9	19.9	28.0		20.6	27.3		23.7	18.4
	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	_	lerated									
Reading	NC			NC		42.6	46.8	19.7		42.8	40.6		44.4	40.8
Writing Mathematics	NC			NC		51.5	59.1	12.9		55.2	23.8		58.3	44.7
Science	NC 			NC 		28.2 32.5	28.4 31.9	28.8 36.0		29.5 33.9	15.6 9.1		28.3 35.5	28.6 29.6
Social Studies						26.7	28.3	16.0		28.3	0.0		28.0	25.5
Percen	tage of	Student	ts Scorii	na Adva	nced	20.7	20.0	10.0		20.0	0.0		20.0	20.0
Reading	NC			NC		21.3	22.7	13.6		21.8	15.6		26.0	16.4
Writing	NC			NC		3.5	4.1	0.0		3.9	0.0		4.6	2.1
Mathematics	NC			NC		33.1	36.8	12.1		33.7	25.0		31.8	34.3
Science Social Studies						36.1	39.8	12.0		36.1	36.4		29.0	42.9
Journal Studies						38.7	41.6	20.0		38.9	36.4		33.3	43.9

#### Your District's Students 2007-2008

Percentage										
Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
814	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	99.4%	8.0%	NC	12.7%	NC

teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure

NC = Not calculated; displayed when there are fewer than 10 students in student group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

0.0

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

#### Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your District	State
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	99.2
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	71.5	58.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	1.5
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	98.2	98.3
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes tage	Your District Scho	h-Poverty ols Located our District*  Low-Poverty Schools Located in Your District*

\*High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

0.0

<sup>-- =</sup> No data were reported.

## Schools in School Improvement



Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in SI for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

#### Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

No Schools Identified for Improvement in District

## Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2007

Measure	2006-07 Graduates	<b>Data Source</b>
Graduation Rate	100.0 %	EMIS
Mean ACT Score	22	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT	73.3 %	ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score		College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT	NC	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	26.7 %	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	NC	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	0	College Board, EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one Tech Prep Course	NC	EMIS
Number of Graduates taking at least one PSEO course	NC	EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12.

#### Legend

**EMIS** - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education

**ACT College Entrance Exam** - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT College Entrance Test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit membership association that administers the SAT exam


**PSEO** - Post-Secondary Enrollment Options, a program that enables high school students, grades 9-12, to earn college and high school graduation credit

**AP** - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

**Tech Prep** - A combination of college preparatory academics and advanced career-technical education

# **Determining Your District's Rating**

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary rating, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index score and 3) AYP status.



The preliminary rating results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's performance index score. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If a district does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- 3. In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, value-added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- 1. If your district's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then value-added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your district experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will increase by one level.
- 3. In all other cases, value added has no effect on the rating and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

			- -	
Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure	Final Designation	
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction	
LAGGIGIT	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Excellent	
Effective		Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent	
Effective	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Effective	
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and	Otherwise no effect on rating	Continuous Improvement	
Academic Watch	and	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement
Adductific Water	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Watch	
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch	
Academic Emergency	anu	Otherwise no effect on rating	Academic Emergency	

# Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov