Fort Loramie Local School District

PO Box 26, Fort Loramie, OH 45845-0026 - Shelby County





The District Report Card for the 2008-2009 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators

Performance Index





Adequate Yearly Progress

value-Aaaea

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- · Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

2008-2009 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: Daniel B. Holland (937) 295-3931











State Pe	rcentage of Students	s at and above the Pr	oficient Level
State	Your District	Similar Districts*	State
Indicators	2008-2009	2008-2009	2008-2009
3rd Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
1. Reading	90.6 % ✓	86.5 %	77.4 %
2. Mathematics	96.9 % ✓	88.3 %	81.3 %
4th Grade Achievement	The state re	quirement is 75 pe	rcent
3. Reading	93.2 % ✓	91.4 %	82 %
4. Mathematics	86.4 % ✓	88.4 %	78.4 %
5. Writing	98.3 % ✓	91.1 %	84.4 %
5th Grade Achievement		quirement is 75 pe	
6. Reading	90.0 % 🗸	83.0 %	72 %
7. Mathematics	85.0 % ✓	77.6 %	62.3 %
8. Science	91.3 % 🗸	85.4 %	70.6 %
9. Social Studies	92.5 % ✓	77.4 %	61.6 %
6th Grade Achievement	•	quirement is 75 pe	ercent
10. Reading	100.0 % ✓	90.2 %	81.3 %
11. Mathematics	96.9 % ✓	86.4 %	75.2 %
7th Grade Achievement		uirement is 75 pei	
12. Reading	91.5 % 🗸	85.7 %	76.6 %
13. Mathematics	91.5 % 🗸	85.0 %	74.3 %
14. Writing	93.2 % 🗸	88.9 %	80.5 %
8th Grade Achievement		uirement is 75 per	
15. Reading	92.2 % 🗸	84.0 %	72.4 %
16. Mathematics	80.4 % 🗸	84.1 %	70.6 %
17. Science	84.3 % 🗸	78.5 %	62.8 %
18. Social Studies	68.6 %	61.5 % quirement is 75 pe	51.1 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade) 19. Reading	96.7 % \	quirement is 75 pe 94.4 %	84.5 %
20. Mathematics	95.0 % ✓	93.1 %	84.5 % 81.4 %
21. Writing	95.0 % ✓	95.1 % 95.6 %	89.7 %
22. Science	96.7 % √	95.0 % 89.5 %	76 %
23. Social Studies	91.7% ✓	92.1 %	81.6 %
Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)**		quirement is 85 pe	
24. Reading	97.2 % ✓	96.8 %	92.8 %
25. Mathematics	93.1 % ✓	95.3 %	88.4 %
26. Writing	97.2 % ✓	97.4 %	93.2 %
27. Science	95.8 % ✓	93.6 %	84.2 %
28. Social Studies	97.2 % ✓	94.4 %	88.6 %
Attendance Rate		quirement is 93 pe	
29. All Grades	97.3 % ✓	96.3 %	94.3 %
2007-08 Graduation Rate	The state re	quirement is 90 pe	ercent
30. District	100.0 % ✓	, 97.4 %	84.6 %
	100.0 /6 🗸	31.4 /0	04.0 /6


Your District's Assessment Results Over Time





All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.
















State Indicators

The State
Indicators are
based on state

assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for Achievement or Graduation Tests, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment.

For the 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests indicators, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.





*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2008-2009 School Year

Performance Lev 3-8 and 10 for a (Includes every s the district for a f	Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points	
	Untested	0.3	Χ	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	1.5	Χ	0.3	=	0.4
	Basic	7.1	Χ	0.6	=	4.3
	Proficient	26.8	Χ	1.0	=	26.8
	Accelerated	35.0	Χ	1.1	=	38.5
	Advanced	29.4	Χ	1.2	=	35.3

105.2 **Your District's Performance Index**

Grade 5

The Performance Index reflects the achievement of every student enrolled for the full academic year. The Performance Index

Performance

Index

is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2008-2009	2007-2008	2006-2007
105.2	105.1	103.6

Value-Added Measure

Overall Composite



Grade 4

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2008-2009 school year.

Grade 7

Grade 8

Reading



Grade 6

Mathematics





Legend

Above Expected Growth



Expected Growth

Below

Expected Growth

Your district's Value-Added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



Ado	equate Yearly Progress			M	intaged ader	, ^{vic}	nlask	a Wative		ોંઠ	ahitife	es colicient	
	ides 3-8 and 10 Reading and Mathematics	All Stude	kcononi	cally Disadiv	dischie Jegunder	on-Hispanic	n Indian Alask	Multi-R?	White. P	on-Hispanis	with Disabilities End	AYP Deter by Indi	
Percent Proficient	Reading	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency:	Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Proficiency:	Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation:	Met
Percen	Mathematics	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Participation:	Met
Gr	aduation Rate*	Met										Graduation Rate:	Met
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate:	Met
AYI	P Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	AYP Determination for Your District:	Met

Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:


- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor:
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Me	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics



non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

Federally Required Graduation Rate Information

American Indian/ Alaska Native O%

Asian or Pacific Islander O%

Black, non-Hispanic >95% Econ.
Disadvtgd
>95%

Limited English
Proficient

0%

0%

Multi-Racial

Students with Disabilities >95%

White, non-Hispanic >95%

The disaggregated graduation rates of your district are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP determination.

State and Federally Required District Information

Your District's	Percentage of	f Students a	t Each	Performance	Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percentage of Students Scoring Limited														
Reading Writing Mathematics Science	 	 	 	 	 	1.4 0.6 1.8 1.1	0.0 0.6 0.3 0.0	10.2 0.0 11.9 7.7	 	1.5 0.6 1.2 1.1	0.0 0.0 9.4 0.0	 	0.4 0.0 1.3 0.9	2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2
Social Studies						2.1	0.0	15.4		2.3	0.0		3.6	0.0
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid										
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	5.3 3.4 7.9 9.5 11.1	2.4 0.0 5.0 4.2 6.7	23.7 25.0 25.4 42.3 38.5	 	4.2 3.6 6.9 8.0 8.5	18.8 0.0 18.8 26.7 40.0	 	4.2 2.1 7.6 10.0 11.8	6.5 4.9 8.0 8.6 9.9
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	31.2 34.1 29.8 11.6 18.0	31.0 30.5 29.9 10.9 17.6	33.9 54.2 32.2 15.4 19.2	 	30.6 31.1 29.4 10.8 18.2	40.6 72.7 40.6 20.0 13.3	 	27.8 21.6 31.6 12.7 20.0	35.5 48.1 28.5 9.9 14.8
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	35.6 55.1 24.9 45.5 27.5	37.6 61.7 26.2 47.9 30.3	22.0 16.7 16.9 30.8 11.5	 	35.1 58.1 25.2 46.6 27.3	40.6 18.2 21.9 33.3 33.3	 	37.1 67.0 24.1 44.5 27.3	33.5 42.0 26.0 46.9 28.4
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Adva	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	26.6 6.8 35.6 32.3 41.3	29.1 7.1 38.6 37.0 45.5	10.2 4.2 13.6 3.8 15.4	 	28.6 6.6 37.3 33.5 43.8	0.0 9.1 9.4 20.0 13.3	 	30.4 9.3 35.4 31.8 37.3	22.0 3.7 35.0 33.3 46.9

Your District's Students 2008-2009

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
842						99.5%	6.5%		13.8%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

0.0

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your District	State
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	99.3
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	66.7	59.3
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0	1.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0	98.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licens	t by Your District Sch	gh-Poverty ools Located four District* Low-Poverty Schools Located in Your District*

^{-- =}Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

0.0

^{*}High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

Schools in School Improvement



Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in SI for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

No Schools Identified for Improvement in District

Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum for the Class of 2008

Measure	2007-08 Graduates	Data Source
Graduation Rate	100.0 %	EMIS
Mean ACT Score	22	ACT Corp., EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the ACT	76.3 %	ACT Corp., EMIS
Mean SAT Score	1124	College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates participating in the SAT		College Board, EMIS
Percent of Graduates graduating with an Honors Diploma	35.6 %	EMIS
Number of Graduates participating in an AP test	0	College Board
Percent of Graduates with an AP score of 3 or above	0	College Board, EMIS

The Measures of a Rigorous Curriculum are intended to report on the completion of a rigorous curriculum and other indicators of student success that ensure students leave school with the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in college, careers and citizenship. These indicators pertain to schools that have any combination of grades 10, 11 and 12. **EMIS** - Education Management Information System of the Ohio Department of Education

Legend

ACT College Entrance Exam - Nonprofit organization that administers the ACT college entrance test

College Board (SAT) - Nonprofit association that administers the SAT exam

AP - Advanced Placement, a program offering courses/exams that provide students the opportunity to earn credit or advanced standing at colleges and universities

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results.

http://education.ohio.gov and search for key word "NAEP"

Determining Your District's Rating

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.



Preliminary Designation		AYP Status		Performance Index Score		Indicators Met
Excellent	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 %
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- If a district does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation. Thus, the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- If your district's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your district experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your district experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your district's final designation will decrease by one designation.

Preliminary Designation		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation		
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction		
LAGGIGIT	anu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective		
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent		
	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement		
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective		
·		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch		
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement		
	unu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch		
	3.10	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		

^{*}In all other cases, including if your district's designation has been restricted to Continuous Improvement, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Ohio Department of Education Report Card Resources on the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov