Fort Loramie Local School District

PO Box 26, Fort Loramie, OH 45845-0026 - Shelby County





The District Report Card for the 2009-2010 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators





Adeauate Yearly Progress

Value-Added

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency

State Indicators

To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate, 93%; Graduation Rate, 90%.

2009-2010 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: Daniel B. Holland (937) 295-3931









State Indicators

Percentage of Students at and above the Proficient Level **Your District** Similar Districts* 2009-2010 2009-2010 2009-2010

3rd Grade Achievement

- 1. Reading
- 2. Mathematics

4th Grade Achievement

- 3. Reading
- 4. Mathematics

5th Grade Achievement

- 5. Reading
- 6. Mathematics
- 7. Science

6th Grade Achievement

- 8. Reading
- 9. Mathematics

7th Grade Achievement

- 10. Reading
- 11. Mathematics

8th Grade Achievement

- 12. Reading
- 13. Mathematics
- 14. Science

Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade) **

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- 24. Social Studies

Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

2008-09 Graduation Rate

26. District

The state requirement is 75 percent

98.3 % 🗸	88.9 %	78.4 %					
95.0 % 🗸	87.7 %	76.9 %					
The state re	ercent						
93.8 % 🗸	90.5 %	81 %					
93.8 % 🗸	87.2 %	76.2 %					
The state requirement is 75 percent							

92.9 % 🗸	85.1 %	71.8 %
91.1 % 🗸	84.2 %	67 %
94.6 % 🗸	86.8 %	69.9 %

The state requirement is 75 percent

97.5 % 🗸	93.0 %	84.1 %
93.8 % 🗸	90.1 %	77.4 %

The state requirement is 75 percent

96.9 % 🗸	89.1 %	80.2 %
98.5 % 🗸	85.3 %	71.1 %

The state requirement is 75 percent

96.6 % 🗸	88.2 %	80.9 %
91.4 % 🗸	81.6 %	69.2 %
91.4 % 🗸	77.9 %	64.8 %

The state requirement is 75 percent

88.1 % 🗸	90.7 %	83 %
98.3 % 🗸	93.1 %	80.4 %
93.2 % 🗸	92.7 %	84.1 %
88.1 % 🗸	86.9 %	73 %
915%	88 3 %	796%

The state requirement is 85 percent

96.8 % 🗸	97.3 %	91.6 %
98.4 % 🗸	96.0 %	89.2 %
98.4 % 🗸	97.3 %	93.2 %
95.2 % 🗸	94.7 %	85.1 %
95 2 % /	95 7 %	887%

The state requirement is 93 percent

97.6 % ✓ 94.3 % 96.4 % The state requirement is 90 percent

83 % 97.9 %

Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a

✓.


-- Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.


^{*}Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors. **Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders


Your District's Assessment Results Over Time





All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.
















State Indicators

The State
Indicators are
based on state

assessments, as well as on attendance and graduation rates. To earn an indicator for Achievement or Graduation Tests, at least 75% of students must reach proficient or above for the given assessment. For the 11th arade Ohio

For the 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests indicators, a cumulative 85% passage rate for each assessment is required.





*Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

Performance Index

Performance Index Calculations for the 2009-2010 School Year

 Performance Level Across Grades 3-8 and 10 for all Tested Subjects (Includes every student enrolled in the district for a full academic year)		Percentage	x	Weight	=	Points
	Untested	0.0	Х	0.0	=	0.0
	Limited	0.9	Χ	0.3	=	0.3
	Basic	5.0	Χ	0.6	=	3.0
	Proficient	29.9	Χ	1.0	=	29.9
	Accelerated	31.6	Χ	1.1	=	34.7
	Advanced	32.6	Χ	1.2	=	39.1

Your District's Performance Index 107.0

Grade 5



The Performance
Index reflects the
achievement of every student
enrolled for the full academic

year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

Performance Index Over Time

2009-2010	2008-2009	2007-2008
107.0	105.2	105.1

Value-Added Measure

Overall Composite



Grade 4

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2009-2010 school year.

Reading







Mathematics

/



Legend

+ =

Above Expected Growth

1


Met Expected Growth

_ =

BelowExpected Growth

Your district's Value-Added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)



This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For non-test indicators, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- * The non-test indicators used for overall AYP (Attendance Rate and Graduation Rate) are evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically reliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is a federally required measure. Every school and district must meet AYP goals that are set for Reading and Mathematics

Proficiency and Participation, Attendance Rate, and Graduation Rate. These goals are applied to ten student groups: All Students, Economically Disadvantaged Students, Asian/Pacific Islander Students, Black, non-Hispanic Students, American Indian/Alaska Native Students, Hispanic Students, Multi-Racial Students, White,

non-Hispanic Students, Students with Disabilities (IEP), and Students with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). If any one of these groups does not meet AYP in Reading or Mathematics Proficiency, or in Participation, Attendance Rate, or Graduation Rate, then the school or district does not meet AYP. Not meeting AYP for consecutive years will have both federal and state consequences. Federal consequences could include a school or district being identified for improvement. State consequences could include a reduction in the state's rating designation.

2008-2009 Graduation Rate Information

American India Alaska Native		Asian or Pacific Islander 		Black, Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd 		
Hispanic 	Limited English Proficient	Multi-	Racial	Students with Disabilities		White, non-Hispanic >95%	

The disaggregated graduation rates of your district are provided for informational purposes only and are not used for your AYP determination.

State and Federally Required District Information

Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Limited													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	1.4 1.7 0.9 0.0 0.0	0.3 0.0 0.5 0.0	8.1 3.2 0.0	 	0.7 0.0 0.7 0.0 0.0	8.3 2.8 0.0	 	0.5 3.8 0.9 0.0 0.0	2.3 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.0
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	na Basia	2	0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	3.6 5.1 4.6 8.8 8.5	2.1 2.0 2.1 4.8 6.0	12.9 19.4 30.8 	 	3.2 5.6 3.7 8.1 9.3	8.3 13.9 16.7	 	2.3 0.0 1.8 4.9 11.5	5.0 9.1 7.2 12.1 6.1
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	32.1 62.7 29.2 16.4 23.7	30.3 64.0 27.6 14.3 20.0	45.2 38.7 26.9 	 	30.3 63.0 26.8 14.9 18.5	55.6 55.6 33.3	 	28.6 53.8 34.1 14.6 26.9	36.0 69.7 24.3 17.6 21.2
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	39.0 28.8 24.1 33.9 27.1	41.6 34.0 24.7 38.1 28.0	21.0 21.0 15.4 	 	39.9 29.6 25.1 35.4 29.6	25.0 13.9 25.0 	 	41.8 38.5 24.5 39.0 26.9	35.6 21.2 23.9 30.8 27.3
Percen	Percentage of Students Scoring Advanced													
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	 	 	 	 	 	23.9 1.7 41.2 40.9 40.7	25.8 0.0 45.0 42.9 46.0	12.9 17.7 26.9 	 	25.9 1.9 43.6 41.6 42.6	2.8 13.9 25.0 	 	26.8 3.8 38.6 41.5 34.6	21.2 0.0 43.7 39.6 45.5

Your District's Students 2009-2010

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
829						99.2%	7.4%		15.2%	

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

Federally Required School Teacher Information

	Your District	Schools Located in Your District*	Schools Located in Your District*
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	0.0	100.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	70.1	0.0	71.7
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0		0.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100.0		100.0
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0		0.0

^{-- =} Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

^{*}High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

Schools in School Improvement



Name of the School & Years in Improvement

Name of Schools Identified for Improvement and Years in Improvement Status

No Schools Identified for Improvement in District

Generally, a school will enter School Improvement (SI) after missing AYP for two consecutive years, and it can exit SI only after meeting AYP for two consecutive years.

Every school in SI has to create an improvement plan. If a school in SI receives federal funds, it may have to offer Public School Choice and/or Supplemental Educational Services.

Being in SI for three or more years requires more extensive corrective actions and, eventually, restructuring.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparision of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov and search for key word "NAEP"

Determining Your District's Rating

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the performance index and 3) AYP determination.







Preliminary Designation		AYP Status		Performance Index Score		Indicators Met
Excellent	=	Met or Not Met	and	100 to 120	or	94%-100%
Effective	=	Met or Not Met	and	90 to 99.9	or	75%-93.9%
Continuous	=	Met	and	0 to 89.9	or	0%-74.9%
Improvement	=	Not Met	and	80 to 89.9	or	50%-74.9%
Academic Watch	=	Not Met	and	70 to 79.9	or	31%-49.9 %
Academic Emergency	=	Not Met	and	0 to 69.9	and	0%-30.9%

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's performance index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are three ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If a district does not meet AYP for three consecutive years and in the current year it does not meet AYP in more than one student group, it can be rated no higher than Continuous Improvement.
- In all other cases, AYP has no effect on the preliminary designation. Thus, the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- If your district's rating is restricted to Continuous Improvement due to AYP, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- 2. If your district experiences above expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your district experiences below expected growth for at least three consecutive years, your district's final designation will decrease by one designation.

Preliminary Designation		ار Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation		
Excellent	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent with Distinction		
LAGGIGIT	anu	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Effective		
Effective	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Excellent		
Liiddayd	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement		
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Effective		
·		Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Watch		
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement		
Addustrio Paton	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth for at least 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch		
Addustino Emorgonoy	and	Below expected growth for at least 3 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		

^{*}In all other cases, including if your district's designation has been restricted to Continuous Improvement, then Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.